

SENATOR HIGGINS: This includes after January 1, 1988, the income of all residents in the homestead?

SENATOR WARNER: That's the one that was first...the first amendment that we adopted this afternoon on this bill.

SENATOR HIGGINS: That one cut 400,000 out of the budget.

SENATOR WARNER: It reduced the obligation of the homestead by 400,000, and this motion reduces the appropriation made possible by the...Senator Hall's first motion. Has nothing to do with the second motion.

SENATOR HIGGINS: Gee, I don't see anything in this summary, that Senator Hall handed out, talking about \$400,000. We talking about the same one, Senator?

SENATOR WARNER: Um huh. Yes, ma'am.

SENATOR HIGGINS: Well it doesn't say anything about reducing anything \$400,000.

SENATOR WARNER: All I know is that is what it does, Senator Higgins. Maybe...

SENATOR HIGGINS: I'll yield the rest of my time to Senator Smith.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Sure, Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH: Thank you, Senator Higgins. Senator Warner, could I pursue that a little further. Okay, my understanding now is that what you are doing is removing.... By adopting the Hall amendment, the eight points which were outlined and the amendment that was passed out to us here, we reduce the appropriation by \$400,000. So what you are trying to do now is to attempt to add that to this so it can be reduced in the appropriations by that amount. That savings of 400,000, does that come from such things as like, number one, where it says includes after January 1 the income of all residents of the homestead in determining eligibility? In other words, are they "guesstimating" that this is the amount of money that will be saved by doing the kinds of things that they are doing here?

SENATOR WARNER: There were three items, Senator Smith, that was covered. I think Senator Hall, as I recall his discussion,